

1 Nathan Brown (SBN: 033482)  
2 **Brown Patent Law**  
3 15100 N 78<sup>th</sup> Way Suite 203  
Scottsdale, AZ 85260  
Phone: 602-529-3474  
Email: [Nathan.Brown@BrownPatentLaw.com](mailto:Nathan.Brown@BrownPatentLaw.com)

4 Stefan Coleman  
5 **Law Offices of Stefan Coleman, P.A.**  
201 s. Biscayne Blvd, 28<sup>th</sup> Floor  
6 Miami, FL 33131  
Phone: (877) 333-9427  
7 Fascimile: (888) 498-8946  
Email: [law@StefanColeman.com](mailto:law@StefanColeman.com)

8 Avi R. Kaufman  
9 **Kaufman P.A.**  
400 NW 26<sup>th</sup> Street  
10 Miami, FL 33127  
Email: [kaufman@kaufmanpa.com](mailto:kaufman@kaufmanpa.com)  
Phone: (305) 469-5881

12  
13 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

14 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

16  
17 Ty Kempton, individually, and on behalf of all  
18 others similarly situated,

19  
20 *Plaintiff,*

21  
22 *v.*

23 Life for Relief and Development Inc., a  
24 California corporation,

25  
26 Case No.

27  
28 **CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

*Defendant.*

## **CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff Ty Kempton (“Kempton” or “Plaintiff”) brings this Class Action Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial against Defendant Life for Relief and Development, Inc. (“Life for Relief” or “Defendant”) to stop Life for Relief from violating the Telephone Consumer Protection Act by sending unsolicited autodialed text messages to consumers, and to obtain injunctive and monetary relief for all persons injured by Life for Relief’s conduct. Plaintiff, for his Complaint, alleges as follows upon personal knowledge as to himself and his own acts and experiences, and, as to all other matters, upon information and belief, including investigation conducted by his attorneys.

## INTRODUCTION

1. Life for Relief is a non-profit corporation.
  2. Life for Relief uses text message marketing to solicit donations.
  3. In Plaintiff's case, Life for Relief sent approximately 8 unsolicited, unwanted  
text messages to his cellular phone.

4. In response to these text messages, Plaintiff files this lawsuit seeking injunctive relief, requiring Defendant to cease sending unwanted text messages to consumers' cellular telephone numbers using an automatic dialing system without consent, as well as an award of statutory damages to the members of the Class and costs.

## PARTIES

5. Plaintiff Kempton is a Gilbert, Arizona resident.
  6. Defendant Life for Relief is a California nonprofit corporation headquartered in Southfield, Michigan. Defendant conducts business throughout this District, the state of Arizona, and the United States.

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

7. This Court has federal question subject matter jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, as the action arises under the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. §227 (“TCPA”).

8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant and venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1331(b) because Defendant solicits business within this District, and because the wrongful conduct giving rise to this case occurred in this District.

## **COMMON ALLEGATIONS**

# Life for Relief Sends Autodialled Text Messages to Consumers' Cellular Phone Numbers Without Consent

9. In violation of the TCPA, Defendant sends autodialed text messages to consumers without consent.

10. In sending the unsolicited text messages at issue, Defendant, or a third party acting on its behalf, uses an automatic telephone dialing system; hardware and/or software with the capacity to store or produce cellular telephone number to be called, using a random or sequential number generator and/or from a list. This is evident from the circumstances surrounding the text messages, including the ability to trigger an automated response by replying “STOP,” the text messages’ commercial and generic content, that substantively identical texts were sent to multiple recipients, and that they were sent from a short code, which is consistent with the use of an automatic telephone dialing system to send text messages.

1       11. Life for Relief utilizes services such as SimpleTexting to conduct text message  
2 campaigns using an autodialing system. Plaintiff received text messages from shortcode 555-  
3 888. This shortcode is owned by SimpleTexting:  
4

5                          | <https://simpletexting.com/features/>



6                          SimpleTexting®

7                          555-888

8                          **Keyword & Short Code**

9                          Reserve an exclusive keyword on our  
10                         super simple short code—555888.  
11                         People will text your keyword to  
12                         555888 to receive your messages.

13                         [Learn more »](#)

1

14       12. SimpleTexting openly advertises the capacity of its platform to send automated  
15 text messages, and text messages sent in a “text blast”<sup>2</sup> to multiple recipients:  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

---

26       1 <https://simpletexting.com/features/>

27       2 *id*

1                   Setting Up Group Text from Phone

2                   Log in to SimpleTexting and click **Apps**, then click **Group Text from Phone**. You  
3                   need to grant a phone permission before using it to send group texts, so click  
4                   **Add Cellphone** to get started. The cellphone you add will receive a text  
requesting that you confirm by replying Y or Yes.

5                   Once your number is confirmed, you can send group blasts in two easy steps.  
6                   First, text the appropriate list name to 555888. (To send a text to multiple lists,  
text multiple list names separated by commas.)

7                   Then, you'll receive a text back requesting your actual message. Reply with  
8                   the message you'd like to send, and SimpleTexting will push it out to the lists  
9                   you specified in Step 1.

3

10                  13. Not surprisingly, there are numerous online complaints about Defendant Life for  
11                  Relief's autodialed text messages to consumers who never gave consent to be texted:

- 13                  • “Never subscribed to anything. Not interested! Stop!”<sup>4</sup>
- 14                  • “Spammed for a donation to http://www.lifeusa.org”<sup>5</sup>
- 15                  • “Received a text message about Eid Mubarak from this shortcode. Lifeusa.org? I’ve  
16                  never heard of them. Right after that, I got another text, despite replying Stop.”<sup>6</sup>

17                   **PLAINTIFF’S ALLEGATIONS**

19                   **Life for Relief Repeatedly Texted Plaintiff’s  
20                  Cell Phone Number Without Plaintiff’s Consent**

21                  14. In the latter part of 2017, Plaintiff began receiving unsolicited, autodialed text  
22                  messages from Defendant.

24  
25  
26                  <sup>3</sup> <https://simpletexting.com/features/group-text-from-phone/>

27                  <sup>4</sup> <http://shortcodes.org/uncategorized/545454-short-code/>

28                  <sup>5</sup> *id*

<sup>6</sup> <http://shortcodes.org/uncategorized/555888-short-code/#comment-11934>

1 15. Plaintiff has received approximately 8 unsolicited, autodialed texts.

2 16. For example, on June 16, 2018 at 5:45 am, Plaintiff received an unsolicited,  
3 autodialed text from Defendant using SMS shortcode 545-454 stating, "Eid Mubarak to you and  
4 your family from Life for Relief and Development. Please visit us at lifeusa.org.  
5

6 <http://www.lifeusa.org/worldcup> Reply stop to stop[.]"

7 17. On August 16, 2018 at 7:41 am, Plaintiff received another unsolicited, autodialed  
8 text message from Defendant again using SMS shortcode 545-454 stating, "LIFE would like to  
9 wish you and your family blessed days. To participate in our Udhiyah/Qurabni program, please  
10 visit us at [www.lifeusa.org](http://www.lifeusa.org). ReplySTOPtoStop[.]"

12 18. Plaintiff then received another unsolicited, autodialed text message from  
13 Defendant using SMS shortcode 545-454 on August 20, 2018 stating, "Eid Mubarak to you and  
14 your family, Life is taking Udhiyah/Qurbani donations until the 3<sup>rd</sup> day of Eid. Plz Call 1800  
15 8273543 or visit lifeusa.org Reply stop to stop[.]"

17 19. Plaintiff does not have a relationship with Life for Relief or any of its affiliated  
18 companies, nor has he ever requested that Life for Relief send him text messages, or consented to  
19 any contact from Defendant.

20 20. The unauthorized text messages sent by Life for Relief, as alleged herein, have  
21 harmed Plaintiff in the form of annoyance, nuisance, and invasion of privacy, and disturbed  
22 Kempton's use and enjoyment of his phone, in addition to the wear and tear on the phones'  
23 hardware (including the phones' battery) and the consumption of memory on the phone.

25 21. Seeking redress for these injuries, Kempton, on behalf of himself and the Class of  
26 similarly situated individuals, brings suit under the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47  
27 U.S.C. § 227, *et seq.*, which prohibits unsolicited autodialed text messages to cellular telephones.  
28

## **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

## **Class Treatment Is Appropriate for Plaintiff's TCPA Claim**

22. Plaintiff brings this action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2) and Rule 23(b)(3) on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated and seeks certification of the following Class:

**Autodialed No Consent Class:** All persons in the United States who from four years prior to the filing of this action (1) Defendant (or an agent acting on behalf of Defendant) called/texted, (2) on the person's cellular telephone, (3) using substantially the same equipment used to call/text Plaintiff, (4) for substantially the same reason Defendant called/texted Plaintiff, and (5) for whom Defendant claims (a) it obtained consent in the same manner as Defendant claims it obtained consent to call/text Plaintiff, or (b) Defendant did not obtain consent.

23. The following individuals are excluded from the Class: (1) any Judge or Magistrate presiding over this action and members of their families; (2) Defendant, its subsidiaries, parents, successors, predecessors, and any entity in which Defendant or its parents have a controlling interest and their current or former employees, officers and directors; (3) Plaintiff's attorneys; (4) persons who properly execute and file a timely request for exclusion from the Class; (5) the legal representatives, successors or assigns of any such excluded persons; and (6) persons whose claims against Defendant have been fully and finally adjudicated and/or released. Plaintiff anticipates the need to amend the Class definitions following appropriate discovery.

24. **Numerosity:** On information and belief, there are hundreds, if not thousands of members of the Class such that joinder of all members is impracticable.

25. **Commonality and Predominance:** There are many questions of law and fact common to the claims of Plaintiff and the Class, and those questions predominate over any

1 questions that may affect individual members of the Class. Common questions for the Class  
2 include, but are not necessarily limited to the following:

- 3                     (a) whether Defendant utilized an automatic telephone dialing system to send text  
4                     messages to Plaintiff and the members of the Class;
- 5                     (b) whether Defendant's conduct constitutes a violation of the TCPA; and
- 6                     (c) whether members of the Class are entitled to treble damages based on the  
7                     willfulness of Defendant's conduct.
- 8

9

10                 26.     **Adequate Representation:** Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and  
11 protect the interests of the Class, and has retained counsel competent and experienced in class  
12 actions. Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to those of the Class, and Defendant has no  
13 defenses unique to Plaintiff. Plaintiff and his counsel are committed to vigorously prosecuting  
14 this action on behalf of the members of the Class, and have the financial resources to do so.  
15 Neither Plaintiff nor his counsel has any interest adverse to the Class.

16

17                 27.     **Appropriateness:** This class action is also appropriate for certification because  
18 Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class and as a  
19 whole, thereby requiring the Court's imposition of uniform relief to ensure compatible standards  
20 of conduct toward the members of the Class and making final class-wide injunctive relief  
21 appropriate. Defendant's business practices apply to and affect the members of the Class  
22 uniformly, and Plaintiff's challenge of those practices hinges on Defendant's conduct with  
23 respect to the Class as wholes, not on facts or law applicable only to Plaintiffs. Additionally, the  
24 damages suffered by individual members of the Class will likely be small relative to the burden  
25 and expense of individual prosecution of the complex litigation necessitated by Defendant's  
26 actions. Thus, it would be virtually impossible for the members of the Class to obtain effective

1 relief from Defendant's misconduct on an individual basis. A class action provides the benefits  
2 of single adjudication, economies of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court.  
3

4 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**  
5

6 **Telephone Consumer Protection Act  
(Violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227)  
(On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Autodialed No Consent Class)**  
7

8 28. Plaintiff repeats and realleges paragraphs 1-27 of this Complaint and incorporates  
them by reference.  
9

10 29. Defendant and/or its agents sent unsolicited text messages to cellular telephone  
numbers belonging to Plaintiff and the other members of the Autodialed No Consent Class using  
11 an autodialer.  
12

13 30. These text messages were sent *en masse* without consent.  
14

15 31. Defendant sent these text messages negligently or willfully and knowingly.  
16

17 32. Defendant has, therefore, violated 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii). As a result of  
Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and the other members of the Autodialed No Consent Class are  
each entitled to a minimum of \$500 in damages, and up to \$1,500 in damages, for each violation.  
18

## **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff Kempton, individually and on behalf of the Class, prays for the following relief:

- a) An order certifying the Class as defined above; appointing Plaintiff as the representative of the Class; and appointing his attorneys as Class Counsel;
  - b) An award of actual and/or statutory damages and costs;
  - c) An order declaring that Defendant's actions, as set out above, violate the TCPA;
  - d) An injunction requiring Defendant to cease all unsolicited texting activity, and to otherwise protect the interests of the Class; and
  - e) Such further and other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

## **JURY TRIAL DEMAND**

Plaintiff requests a jury trial.

Respectfully Submitted,

**TY KEMPTON**, individually and on behalf of those similarly situated  
individuals

Dated: April 1, 2019

By: /s/ Nathan Brown

Nathan Brown

Telephone: (602) 529-3474

Nathan.Brown@BrownPatentLaw.com

*Local Counsel for Plaintiff and the putative Class*

1 Stefan Coleman\*

2 law@stefancoleman.com

3 LAW OFFICES OF STEFAN COLEMAN, P.A.

4 201 S. Biscayne Blvd, 28<sup>th</sup> Floor

5 Miami, FL 33131

6 Telephone: (877) 333-9427

7 Facsimile: (888) 498-8946

8

10 Avi R. Kaufman\*

11 kaufman@kaufmanpa.com

12 KAUFMAN P.A.

13 400 NW 26<sup>th</sup> Street

14 Miami, FL 33127

15 Telephone: (305) 469-5881

16  
17  
18  
19 *Attorneys for Plaintiff and the putative Class*

20  
21 \**Pro Hac Vice motion forthcoming*